

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,619	01/24/2002	Ivan J. Baiges	10017070-1	2301	
7590 06/29/2004			EXAMINER		
	ACKARD COMPANY	MOUTTET, BLAISE L			
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2853		

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			un			
Р .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Advisory Action	10/057,619	BAIGES, IVAN J.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blaise L Mouttet	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shet with the	correspond nce addre	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application of the supplication of the su	cation. A proper replication in the case of the case of the captility in the case of the captility in the case of	y to a ation in			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most part of the part of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate es e fee. The appropriate exter the final Office action; or (2)	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.		' to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10.						

Response to Arguments

The applicant argues that limitation of the marking engines marking on mutually exclusive portions of the print medium is not rendered obvious by the applied rejection as put forward by the examiner. The applicant has argued that since Yashima et al. '747 utilizes a plurality of different recording agents to establish plural gray scales it would be non-obvious to print using the marking engines so as to print on mutually exclusive portions of the print medium. However the examiner disagrees with this line of reasoning since each marking engine (31A, 31B) of Yashima et al. corresponds to an independent carriage each of which carries a plurality of different recording agents equal to the number of inks used (column 16, lines 45-47). Thus there is no necessity for Yashima et al. to use more than one of the marking engine to establish the plural tones and there is ample motivation provided by Granzow '719 to using the marking engines in a mutually exclusive manner (to achieve faster printing).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Blaise Mouttet who may be reached at telephone number (571) 272-2150. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, Art Unit 2853, can be reached at (571) 272-2149. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/057,619

Art Unit: 2853

Page 3

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Blaise Mouttet June 16, 2004

BM 6/16/12004

LAMSON NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER